

FORM PTO-1390
(REV 10-95)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER

**TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES
DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US)
CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. §371**

SCH 1705

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (if known, see 37 CFR §1.5)

09/786549

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO

INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE

PCT/EP99/06015

18 AUGUST 1999

PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED

10 SEPTEMBER 1998

TITLE OF INVENTION

COATED MEDICAL DEVICES AND IMPLANTS

APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US



PRIEWE, Jörg, et al.

Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:

1. ☒ This is a **FIRST** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. §371.
2. ☐ This is a **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. §371.
3. ☐ This express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. §371(f)) at any time rather than delay examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 U.S.C. §371(b) and PCT Articles 22 and 39(1).
4. ☒ A proper Demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by the 19th month from the earliest claimed priority date.
5. ☒ A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. §371(c)(2))
 - a. ☐ is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).
 - b. ☒ has been transmitted by the International Bureau.
 - c. ☐ is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US).
6. ☒ A translation of the International Application into English (35 U.S.C. §371(c)(2)).
7. ☒ Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 34 (35 U.S.C. §371(c)(3))
 - a. ☐ are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).
 - b. ☒ have been transmitted by the International Bureau.
 - c. ☐ have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.
 - d. ☐ have not been made and will not be made.
8. ☐ A translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. §371(c)(3)).
9. ☒ An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. §371(c)(4)).
10. ☐ A translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. §371(c)(5)).

Items 11. to 16. below concern document(s) or information included:

11. ☐ An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 C.F.R. §§1.97 and 1.98.
12. ☐ An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §§3.28 and 3.31 is included.
13. ☒ A FIRST preliminary amendment.
 - ☐ A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendment.
14. ☐ A substitute specification.
15. ☐ A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.
16. ☐ Other items or information:

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (if known, see 37 CFR §1.5) 09/786549		INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/EP99/06015		ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER SCH 1705	
17. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The following fees are submitted: BASIC NATIONAL FEE (37 CFR §1.492 (a) (1) - (5)): Search Report has been prepared by the EPO or JPO..... \$860.00 International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR §1.482)..... \$690.00 No international preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR §1.482) but international search fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR §1.445(a)(2))..... \$710.00 Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR §1.482) nor international search fee (37 CFR §1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO..... \$1000.00 International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR §1.482) and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(2)-(4)..... \$100.00 ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT = \$860.00				CALCULATIONS PTO USE ONLY	
Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 C.F.R. §1.492(e)). <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30					
CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE		
Total claims	15 - 20 =	0	x \$ 18.00	\$0.00	
Independent claims	2 - 3 =	0	x \$ 80.00	\$0.00	
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM(S) (if applicable)			+ \$ 270.00		
TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =				\$860.00	
Reduction of 1/2 for filing by small entity, if applicable. A Verified Small Entity Statement must also be filed (Note 37 C.F.R. §§1.9, 1.27, 1.28).					
SUBTOTAL =				\$860.00	
Processing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later than months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 C.F.R. §1.492(f)). <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30					
TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =				\$860.00	
Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 C.F.R. §1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 C.F.R. §§3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property.					
TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =				\$860.00	
				Amount to be refunded:	
				charged:	
a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A check in the amount of <u>\$860.00</u> to cover the above fees is enclosed. b. <input type="checkbox"/> Please charge my Deposit Account No. <u>13-3402</u> in the amount of \$_____ to cover the above fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed. c. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. <u>13-3402</u> . A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.					
NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 C.F.R. §§1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 C.F.R. §1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.					
SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO: CUSTOMER NUMBER 23, 599					
 23599 PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE <small>1 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE</small>					
Filed: 7 MARCH 2001 AJZ :kms				SIGNATURE  NAME <u>Anthony J. Zelano</u> 27,969 REGISTRATION NUMBER	

IN THE UNITED STATES DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE

International Application No. : PCT/EP99/06015
International Filing Date : 18 AUGUST 1999
Priority Date(s) Claimed : 10 SEPTEMBER 1998
Applicant(s) (DO/EO/US) : PRIEWE, Jörg, et al.
Title: COATED MEDICAL DEVICES AND IMPLANTS

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

SIR:

Although amendments were made during the International phase under Article 34, applicants request that examination in the U.S. National Phase be based on the application as filed and this Preliminary Amendment is based thereon.

Prior to calculating the national fee, and prior to examination in the National Phase of the above-identified International application, please amend as follows:

IN THE CLAIMS:

3. (Amended) Medical implants according to claim 1, wherein the vehicle is a stent.
4. (Amended) Medical implants according to claim 1, wherein the coating contains polymers that consist of cyanoacrylate butyl ester.
5. (Amended) Medical implants according to claim 1, wherein the coating consists of polycyanoacrylic acid ester and at least one other polymer.
11. (Amended) Sterile solution of a polymer mixture in a special incubation vessel for the production of medical implants according to claim 1.

REMARKS

The purpose of this Preliminary Amendment is to eliminate multiple dependent claims in order to avoid the additional fee. Applicants reserve the right to reintroduce claims to canceled combined subject matter.

Respectfully submitted,



Anthony J. Zelano, Reg. No. 27,969
Attorney for Applicants
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.
Arlington Courthouse Plaza 1
2200 Clarendon Boulevard, Suite 1400
Arlington, VA 22201
Direct Dial: 703-812-5311
Facsimile: 703-243-6410
Email: zelano@mwzb.com

AJZ:jmm

VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE

Claims 3-5 and 11 have been amended as follows:

3. (Amended) Medical implants according to claim 1 ~~or 2~~, wherein the vehicle is a stent.

4. (Amended) Medical implants according to ~~one of the preceding claims~~ claim 1, wherein the coating contains polymers that consist of cyanoacrylate butyl ester.

5. (Amended) Medical implants according to ~~one of claims~~ claim 1 to 3, wherein the coating consists of polycyanoacrylic acid ester and at least one other polymer.

11. (Amended) Sterile solution of a polymer mixture in a special incubation vessel for the production of medical implants according to ~~one of claims~~ claim 1 to 10.

Coated Medical Devices and Implants

The invention pertains to the field of coating medical devices and implants, which are used for treating proliferative diseases, such as, e.g., tumors or diseases of the arteriosclerotic group.

Cardiovascular diseases are diseases that occur widely in industrial nations. They represent one of the most frequent causes of death. In most cases, cardiovascular diseases are caused by arteriosclerosis. This is an inflammatory, fibroproliferative disease, which is responsible for 50% of all deaths in the USA, Europe and Japan (Ross 1993, Nature 362: 801-809). With its peripheral manifestation, it threatens the upkeep of the extremities; with its coronary manifestation, the risk of fatal myocardial infarction exists and with a supraaortal attack creates the danger of stroke.

Treatment of arteriosclerosis is currently carried out in different ways. In addition to conservative measures (e.g., lowering the cholesterol level in the blood) and the bypass operation, mechanical dilation (angioplasty) as well as the intravascular removal of atheromatous tissue (atherectomy) of stenotic segments in peripheral arteries and the coronaries have been established as alternatives in regular clinical practice.

As explained below, the above-mentioned methods, however, are associated with a considerable number of drawbacks.

The value of the mechanically recanalizing process is greatly diminished by vessel occlusions because of vascular tears and dissections as well as acute thromboses (Sigwart et al. 1987, N. Engl. J. Med. 316: 701-706). The long-term success is jeopardized by the reoccurrence of constrictions (restenoses). The CAVEAT study on 1012 patients thus yielded that the restenosis rate six months after intervention in the case of coronary atherectomy amounted to 50% and was even 57% in the case of coronary angioplasty (Topol et al. 1993, N. Engl. J. Med. 329: 221-227). In addition, sudden vessel occlusions occurred in this study in 7% of the atherectomy patients and in 3% of the angioplasty patients. Nicolini and Pepine (1992, Endovascular Surgery 72: 919-940) report on a restenosis rate of between 35 and 40% and an acute occlusion rate of 4% after angioplastic operations.

To counteract these complications, different techniques were developed. This includes the implant of metallic endoprostheses (stents), (Sigwart et al. 1987, N. Engl. J. Med. 316: 701-706; Strecker et al., 1990, Radiology 175: 97-102). The stent implant in large-caliber arteries, e.g., in occlusions in the axis of the pelvis has already become a therapy procedure that is to be used primarily. The use of stents in the femoral arteries has shown disappointing results, however, with a primary openness rate of 49% and a reocclusion frequency of 43% (Sapoval et al., 1992, Radiology 184: 833-839). Unsatisfactory results mainly caused by restenosis were also achieved with previously available

stents in the coronary arteries (Kavas et al. 1992, J. Am. Coll. Cardiol 20: 467-474).

All previous pharmacological and mechanical interventions have not been able to prevent the restenosis up until now (Muller et al. 1992, J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 19: 418-432).

The reason for the restenoses that frequently occur after mechanical interventions is assumed to be that the interventions induce proliferation and migration of smooth muscle cells in the vascular wall. The latter result in a neointimal hyperplasia and the observed restenoses in the vascular sections that are treated (Cascells 1992, Circulation 86: 723-729, Hanke et al. 1990, Circ. Res. 67: 651-659, Ross 1993, Nature 362: 801-809).

An alternative process for treatment of arteriosclerotic diseases is described by Sonobe et al. (Sonobe et al., The International Journal of Artificial Organs, Vol. 20 (6): 1997, 319-326). This process is named "Intracoronary Local Adhesive Delivery Technique"; it is the local application of adhesive agents on the site of the arteriosclerotic lesion. Cyanoacrylate monomer is preferably brought to the site of the lesion, which polymerizes there and in the most advantageous case forms a rigid tunnel along the arterial wall. This method has significant drawbacks, however. It requires, on the one hand, a lot of skill on the part of the attending physician, since cyanoacrylates can polymerize very quickly in the presence of moisture. Attention therefore must be paid to a quick, reliable and dry procedure. On the other hand, there is the danger that already polymerized cyanoacrylate again dissolves from the arterial wall or shortly

after application, a portion of the cyanoacrylate is elutriated which later sets in fine branches of the coronary vessels and thus can trigger a myocardial infarction. Further, it has been known for a long time from the literature that the monomeric cyanoacrylate irritates the tissue (Tseng et al., Medical Application of Cyanoacrylates as Surgical Adhesives, Japanese Journal of Artificial Organs; 18(1): 409-413; 1989).

There is therefore the object to make available medical devices and implants that can be used in the treatment of proliferative diseases, such as, e.g., arteriosclerosis or tumors and with whose help the drawbacks of the prior art are overcome.

This object is achieved by the medical implants that are described in the claims.

It has been found that medical implants that are coated with polymer mixtures, which contain polymers that consist of cyanoacrylate (polycyanoacrylic acid ester) or methylene malonic acid ester, prevent, surprisingly enough, the proliferation of smooth muscle cells or tumor cells and thus are extremely well suited for restenosis prophylaxis. Especially surprising in this case was the finding that -- as impressively shown in the examples -- very small amounts of polymeric cyanoacrylate are sufficient to produce a clearly antiproliferative effect in the two cell culture models studied (tumor cells and smooth muscle cells). The above-mentioned drawbacks in the local administration of cyanoacrylate monomer and subsequent polymerization in the blood vessel do not occur in the implants according to the invention since the cyanoacrylate is not used in

monomeric form, but rather in polymeric form. Consequently, it is ensured that when implants according to the invention are used, apart from the intended application site, undesirable polymer formation cannot occur. In addition, the tissue irritations that are known in the literature do not occur by the monomer when implants according to the invention are used. Moreover, possible operating problems based on the spontaneous tendency of cyanoacrylate monomer to polymerization in the presence of moisture -- such as, for example, the adhesion of administration instruments -- in the implants according to the invention are not possible. Further, the adhesion of the polymer to the surface of the implants is significantly better than on the body surface of the implant site (e.g., a luminal arterial surface). As a result, the risk of embolism by detaching polymer parts is avoided.

The production of the implants according to the invention is carried out, for example, in that a vehicle or an implant, such as, e.g., a stent, or the part of a medical implant that is to be coated is immersed in a solution that contains the polymer. The polymer remains on the vehicle after extraction or adheres to the implant and dries in the air. This type of production has the advantage that the coating of the implant can be done by the physician himself immediately before the implant according to the needs of the patient. For especially easy manageability, the sterile polymer solution can be made available in a special incubation vessel as a "pre-application kit."

Another variant for the production of the implants according to the invention is the CVD (Chemical Vapor Deposition) technique. In this case, the cyanoacrylate and/or the methylene malonic acid ester is vapor-deposited on the vehicle.

As a vehicle, the commercially available stents are suitable, such as, e.g., a Wiktor stent, a Palmaz-Schatz stent or a Strecker stent. The stents can consist of metal (e.g., nirosta steel) or a polymer (e.g., polyethylene terephthalate, silicone, polurethane urea). It is also possible to coat catheters and other medical devices with polymers that contain cyanoacrylate and/or methylene malonic acid ester.

The polymer layer that is applied on the vehicle should be between 5 μm and 200 μm . Layer thicknesses of between 20 μm and 150 μm are preferred.

Cyanoacrylate or methylene malonic acid ester can be used exclusively for polymerization and subsequent coating. Especially preferably used for polymerization is n-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate or cyanoacrylate butyl ester.

It is also possible to apply one of the polymers that consist of cyanoacrylate or methylene malonic acid ester together with other polymers on the vehicle, whereby the other polymers originate from one of the substance groups below:

proteins (especially albumin, gelatin, fibrinogen, fibrin, hirudin, heparin, collagen or immunoglobulin) as well as derivatives thereof (especially crosslinked polypeptides, conjugates of proteins with polyethylene glycols and other polymers), pseudopolyamino acids, starch or starch derivatives,

chitin, chitosan, pectin, polylactic acid, polyglycolic acid, polyhydroxybutyric acid, polyester, polycarbonates, polyamides, polyphosphazenes, polyvinyl alcohol, polyamino acids, poly- ϵ -caprolactone, polyorthoester, polyurethane, polyurea, polyethylene terephthalate.

Further, a polymer mixture that consists of cyanoacrylate and methylene malonic acid ester can also be used for coating.

In the case of all of these polymer mixtures, the proportion of cyanoacrylate or methylene malonic acid ester in the polymer layer that is placed on the implant should be between 100% and 10%. A content of cyanoacrylate or methylene malonic acid ester of 100% to 75% is preferred. A content of cyanoacrylate or methylene malonic acid ester of 100% to 80% is especially preferred.

The molecular weight of the polymer that is used lies in the range of 1,000 to 10,000,000 Dalton. The rate of degradation of the polymer can be controlled by its molecular weight. In addition, substances that influence the degradation of the polymer, such as, e.g., calcium carbonate, can also be contained in the polymer mixture.

Further, the polymer mixtures can contain still other additives, such as, e.g., softeners. Examples of softeners are, i.a., nonionic surfactants, such as nonylphenoxy-polyethylene oxide (Synperonic NP20), octoxynol (Triton X-100) or poloxamers (especially Pluronic F127 or Pluronic F68).

The examples below are to illustrate the subject of the invention without intending to be limited to this subject.

Example 1:

Production of polybutylcyanoacrylate by polymerization on interfaces.

5 g of butylcyanoacrylate (Sichel, Lot No. 82902065) is distributed uniformly by repeatedly rolling it around on the bottom of a glass crystallizing bowl (d = 47 cm). The polymerizate is allowed to stand open for 2 days and then dissolved in THF by slight heating.

Example 2:

Production of polybutylcyanoacrylate by polymerization in ethanol/water.

4 ml of butylcyanoacrylate (Sichel, Lot. No. 82902065) is added with a syringe to 100 ml of ethanol/water (50%) while being stirred.

After two hours, 100 ml of water is added, and the polymer is filtered on a glass frit and air-dried. The powder is mixed with approximately 50 ml of methylene chloride and dissolved. The methylene chloride and the residual alcohol or water are removed by concentration by evaporation at 50°C. (Yield 3.6 g)

Example 3:

For coating a sample that is made of "medical grade" nirosta steel with the polymer that is produced according to Example 1,

the procedure is as follows:

1. 50 mg of poly-2-cyanoacrylic acid butyl ester that is produced according to Example 1 is dissolved in one ml of the solvent tetrahydrofuran (THF) by 2 hours of stirring at 40°C.
2. The sample of the "medical grade" nirosta steel that is purified with THF is drawn at a rate of one cm per second through the opening of the coating apparatus, which contains the above-mentioned polymer solution. In this case, a thin film of polymer solution is separated on the surface of the sample.
3. After the solvent is evaporated from the separated polymer solution (incubation at room temperature for 12 hours), a thin film that consists of poly-2-cyanoacrylic acid butyl ester remains on the sample.
4. A light-microscopic study yields a layer thickness of the separated poly-2-cyanoacrylic acid butyl ester film of about 30 μm .

Example 4:

The therapeutic action of a "medical grade" nirosta steel sample that is coated with poly-2-cyanoacrylic acid butyl ester according to Example 3 is shown as follows:

1. LS174T cells (tumor cells) are cultured in a standard culture dish (DMEM medium with 10% fetal calf serum; 37°C; 5% carbon dioxide). This batch is used as a control **without** a "medical grade" nirosta steel sample that is coated with poly-2-cyanoacrylic acid butyl ester.

2. A "medical grade" nirosta steel sample that is coated with poly-2-cyanoacrylic acid butyl ester according to Example 1 and is 3 cm in length is attached to the bottom of the same with a magnet that is located on the outside of the culture dish. Then, the cultivated LS174T cells were moved to this culture dish.

3. Analogously to 2., a "medical grade" nirosta steel sample that was not coated with poly-2-cyanoacrylic acid butyl ester was introduced into a culture flask and attached magnetically there. Then, the cultivated LS174T cells were moved to this culture dish. This batch was used as a control with a "medical grade" nirosta steel sample, but **without** coating of the same with poly-2-cyanoacrylic acid butyl ester.

The state of the cells in batches 1. to 3. was monitored after 24 hours, 48 hours and 72 hours. As a criterion for evaluating the state of the cell cultures, the formation of a homogenous cellular film on the bottom of the culture flask was used.

The following findings were made:

In the batch described under 1.), the cells reproduced normally and formed an "almost homogeneous" cellular film. In the batch that was described under 2.), the state of the cell culture was significantly poorer after 24 hours. It had not formed any "almost homogeneous" cellular films. Also, no cells had grown even after 48 and 72 hours.

In the batch described under 3., the state of the cell culture was like in the control described under 1).

This study clearly shows that the coating of the "medical grade" nirosta steel sample with poly-2-cyanoacrylic acid butyl ester prevents the growth of cells.

Example 5:

The therapeutic action of a thin layer of poly-2-cyanoacrylic acid butyl ester that is applied to the inside surface of a glass dish that is produced according to Example 2, is shown as follows:

1. A10 cells (smooth muscle cells) are cultured in a sterile glass dish (diameter of 4 cm; height 1.5 cm) (30,000 cells per batch; DMEM medium with 10% fetal calf serum; 37°C; 5% carbon dioxide). This batch is used as a control **without** poly-2-cyanoacrylic acid butyl ester.

2. 0.5 ml of a solution that consists of 0.4% (w/w) of poly-2-cyanoacrylic acid butyl ester in THF is pipetted into a glass dish. The THF is evaporated at room temperature to separate a thin film that consists of 1.8 mg of poly-2-cyanoacrylic acid butyl ester in the glass dish. Then, the cultivated A10 cells were moved to this culture dish.

3. 0.5 ml of a solution that consists of 0.04% (w/w) poly-2-cyanoacrylic acid butyl ester in THF is pipetted into a glass dish. The THF is evaporated at room temperature to separate a thin film that consists of 0.18 mg of poly-2-cyanoacrylic acid butyl ester in the glass dish. Then, the cultivated A10 cells were moved to this culture dish.

4. 0.5 ml of a solution that consists of 0.004% (w/w) poly-2-cyanoacrylic acid butyl ester in THF is pipetted into a glass dish. The THF is evaporated at room temperature to separate a thin film that consists of 0.018 mg of poly-2-cyanoacrylic acid butyl ester in the glass dish. Then, the cultivated A10 cells were moved to this culture dish.

The state of the cells in batches 1.) to 4.) was monitored after 24 hours, 48 hours, and 72 hours. As a criterion for evaluating the state of the cell cultures, the numbers of living and dead cells were used, and their numbers were determined by light microscopy.

The following findings were made:

In the batch that is described under 1.), the cells in the glass dish grew well. An "almost homogeneous" cellular film was formed.

In the batches described under 2.) and 3.), no cells have grown.

In the batch that is described under 4.), a "slightly dense" cellular film (in comparison to control 1), has been formed.

This study clearly shows that with a thin layer of poly-2-cyanoacrylic acid butyl ester, the growth of the A10 cells can be prevented effectively and depending on the dose.

Example 6:

Coating of a medical implant with a polymer with use of a "kit" that contains the polymer

The kit consists of a glass vial (25 ml content, with resealable cap) that contains a 0.6% (w/w) poly-2-cyanoacrylic acid butyl ester (produced according to Example 1.) in THF. The medical implant (a stent with a metallic base) is removed from its packaging and introduced into the "kit vial" with the polymer solution under sterile conditions. The vial is sealed and lightly shaken several times to wet the stent uniformly with polymer solution. Then, the stent is removed from the vial under sterile conditions and dried under sterile conditions. The stent is now coated with polymer and is ready for use.

Example 7:

For coating a sample of "medical grade" nirosta steel with the polymer that is produced according to Example 1, the procedure is as follows:

The polymer solution is in a narrow cylindrical vessel. The "medical grade" nirosta steel samples that are purified with the solvent THF are immersed in the moderately viscous polymer solution and pulled out vertically at about 1 cm/second after a short incubation time (about 10-15 seconds). Excess polymer solution drips off downward, while a liquid film that is produced by viscosity on the surface of the "medical grade" nirosta steel sample remains. After intermediate drying under sterile conditions in air (20-22°C), a second immersion process is

carried out in the same way. After renewed intermediate drying, a third and last immersion process follows, whereupon the "medical grade" nirosta steel samples are completely dried. (Incubation at room temperature for 12 hours). A subsequent light-microscopic study yields a layer thickness of the separated poly-2-cyanoacrylic acid butyl ester film of about 50 μm .

Example 8:

Production of polyethylcyanoacrylate by polymerization on interfaces

5 g of ethylcyanoacrylate (Sichel Company) is uniformly distributed by rolling it around on the bottom of a large crystallizing bowl ($d = 47 \text{ cm}$). The polymerizate is allowed to stand open for 2 days and then dissolves in THF by slight heating.

Example 9:

Coating of a medical implant with a blend that consists of polymer and surfactant

Under sterile conditions, a solution that consists of polybutylcyanoacrylate (1.5%) and nonionic surfactant (Synperonic NP20, ICI, 0.5%) in methylene chloride is produced. This mixture is used for coating a medical implant as is described in Example 3.

Example 10:

Coating of a medical implant with a blend that consists of polymer and surfactant

Under sterile conditions, a solution that consists of polybutylcyanoacrylate (1.5%) and nonionic surfactant Triton X-100 (0.2%) in methylene chloride is produced. This mixture is used for coating a medical implant, as described in Example 3.

Example 11:

Coating of a medical implant with a polymer blend that consists of 2 polymers

Under sterile conditions, a solution that consists of polybutylcyanoacrylate (1.5%) and nonionic surfactant Pluronic F127 in methylene chloride is produced. This mixture is used for coating a medical implant as described in Example 3.

Example 12:

Coating of a medical implant with a polymer blend that consists of 2 polymers

Under sterile conditions, a solution that consists of polybutylcyanoacrylate (1.5%) and nonionic surfactant Pluronic F68 in methylene chloride is produced. This mixture is used for coating a medical implant as described in Example 3.

Example 13:

Coating of a medical implant with a polymer blend that consists of 2 polymers

Under sterile conditions, a solution that consists of polybutylcyanoacrylate (0.3%) and polylactide-co-glycolide: Resomer RG503 (2.7%; Boehringer Ingelheim) in methylene chloride is produced. This mixture is used for coating a medical implant as described in Example 3.

Example 14:

Coating of a medical implant with a polymer blend that consists of 2 polymers

Under sterile conditions, a solution that consists of polybutylcyanoacrylate (0.6%) and poly-L-lactide resomer L 104 (2.4%; Boehringer Ingelheim) in methylene chloride is produced. This mixture is used for coating a medical implant as described in Example 3.

Example 15:

Coating of a medical implant with a polymer blend that consists of 2 polymers

Under sterile conditions, a solution that consists of polybutylcyanoacrylate (0.6%) and poly-D,L-lactide resomer R 503 (3.4%; Boehringer Ingelheim) in THF is produced. This mixture is used for coating a medical implant as described in Example 3.

Example 16:

Coating a medical implant with a polymer blend that consists of 2 polymers

Under sterile conditions, a solution that consists of polybutylcyanoacrylate (6.0%) and polyethylene glycol 5000 (0.18%; Fluka) in methylene chloride is produced. This mixture is used for coating a medical implant as described in Example 3.

Example 17:

Coating of a medical implant with a polymer blend that consists of 2 polymers

Under sterile conditions, 10 ml of a solution that consists of polybutylcyanoacrylate (8%) and an AB-block copolymer (2%) that consists of 98% poly-e-caprolactone and 2% polyethylene glycol 5000 (Birmingham Polymers) in methylene chloride is produced. This mixture is used for coating a medical implant as described in Example 3.

Example 18:

Coating of a medical implant with a polymer blend that consists of 2 polymers

Under sterile conditions, 10 ml of a solution that consists of polybutylcyanoacrylate (8%) and an AB-block copolymer (2%) that consists of 80% poly-e-caprolactone and 20% polyethylene glycol 5000 (Birmingham Polymers) in methylene chloride is produced. This mixture is used for coating a medical implant as described in Example 3.

Example 19:

Coating of a medical implant with a polymer blend that consists of 2 polymers

Under sterile conditions, 10 ml of a solution that consists of polybutylcyanoacrylate (8%) and an AB-block copolymer (2%) that consists of 70/30 D,L-poly(lactide-co-glycolides) and PEG5000 (Inherent visc. = 0.68 dl/g, Birmingham Polymers) in methylene chloride is produced.

This mixture is used for coating a medical implant as described in Example 3.

Example 20:

Coating of a medical implant with a polymer blend that consists of 2 polymers

Under sterile conditions, 10 ml of a solution that consists of polybutylcyanoacrylate (8%) and an AB-block copolymer (2%) that consists of 70/30 D,L-poly(lactide-co-glycolides) and PEG5000 (Inherent Visc. = 0.94 dl/g, Birmingham Polymers) in THF is produced. This mixture is used for coating a medical implant as described in Example 3.

Example 21:

Coating of a medical implant with a polymer blend that consists of 2 polymers

Under sterile conditions, 5 ml of a solution that consists of polybutylcyanoacrylate (15%) in methylene chloride is produced. 5 ml of PEG-cyanoacrylate that contains another

polymer solution that is produced according to: Perrachia et al. Macromolecules 30: 846-851 (1997) in THF is added to this solution. This mixture is used for coating a medical implant as described in Example 3.

Example 22:

Coating of a medical implant with a polymer blend that consists of 2 polymers

Under sterile conditions, a solution that consists of polybutylcyanoacrylate (10%) and polyvinyl alcohol (0.85%) in methylene chloride is produced. This mixture is used for coating a medical implant as described in Example 3.

Example 23:

Coating of a medical implant with a mixture that consists of polybutylcyanoacrylate and a phospholipid.

Under sterile conditions, a solution that consists of polybutylcyanoacrylate (9.0%) and the phospholipid DSPE-PEG5000 (Shearwater Polymers) in methylene chloride is produced. This mixture is used for coating a medical implant as described in Example 3.

Example 24:

Coating of a medical implant with a mixture that consists of polybutylcyanoacrylate and palmitic acid.

Under sterile conditions, a solution of 5 g of polybutylcyanoacrylate and 0.5 g of palmitic acid in 100 ml of

methylene chloride is produced. This solution is used for coating a medical implant as described in Example 3.

Example 25:

Coating of a medical implant with a polymer mixture with use of a "kit"

The kit consists of a glass vial (25 ml content; with resealable cap) that contains a polymer mixed solution that is produced according to Example 14 in THF. The medical implant (a stent with a metallic base) is removed from its packaging and introduced under sterile conditions into the "kit-vial" with the polymer mixed solution. The vial is sealed and lightly shaken several times to wet the stent uniformly with polymer mixed solution. Then, the stent is removed from the vial under sterile conditions and dried under sterile conditions. The stent is now coated with polymer and is ready for use.

Example 26:

Coating of a medical implant with a polymer and a basic adjuvant to control the polymer degradation.

Under sterile conditions, a solution that consists of polybutylcyanoacrylate (1.3%) in methylene chloride is produced. In this solution, 0.15% hydrobized CaCO_3 microparticles (Winnofil, Zeneca) are finely dispersed with an Ultraturrax. This dispersion is used for coating a medical implant as described in Example 3.

Example 27:

Coating of a medical implant with a polymer

A methylene malonic acid dimethyl ester is produced according to De Kayser et al. (J. Org. Chem. 53, 4859-4862, 1988). 3 g of monomer is polymerized as in Example 1. A 2% solution in THF is produced and used for coating, as described in Example 3.

Example 28:

Coating of a medical implant with a polymer.

1 ml of the methylene malonic acid dimethyl ester of Example 26 is polymerized as in Lescure et al. (Pharm. Research 11(9), 1270-1277 (1994) by adding 100 ml of 1% dextran solution (MW = 70,000 Sigma) drop by drop at pH = 5.5 while being stirred. The nanoparticles that are obtained are washed 5 times by centrifuging from water, freeze-dried, and a 1% polymer solution in methylene chloride is produced from the lyophilizate and used for coating, as in Example 3.

Example 29:

Coating of a medical implant with a polymer.

1 ml of butylcyanoacrylate is polymerized by adding 100 ml of 1% dextran solution (MW = 70,000 Sigma) drop by drop at pH = 2.5 while being stirred. The nanoparticles that are obtained are washed 5 times by centrifuging from water, freeze-dried, and a 1% polymer solution in methylene chloride is produced from the lyophilizate and used for coating, as in Example 3.

Claims

1. Medical implants that consist of a vehicle that is coated with a polymer or a polymer mixture, characterized in that the polymer mixture contains a polycyanoacrylic acid ester or a polymethylene malonic acid ester.

2. Medical implants according to claim 1, wherein the vehicle consists of metal or a polymer.

3. Medical implants according to claim 1 or 2, wherein the vehicle is a stent.

4. Medical implants according to one of the preceding claims, wherein the coating contains polymers that consist of cyanoacrylate butyl ester.

5. Medical implants according to one of claims 1 to 3, wherein the coating consists of polycyanoacrylic acid ester and at least one other polymer.

6. Medical implants according to claim 5, wherein substances that influence the degradation of the polymer are contained in the polymer coating.

7. Medical implants according to claim 6, wherein the coating contains calcium carbonate.

8. Medical implants according to claim 5, wherein at least one of these additional polymers originates from one of the substance groups that are indicated below: proteins (especially albumin, gelatin, fibrinogen, fibrin, hirudin, heparin, collagen or immunoglobulin) as well as derivatives thereof (especially crosslinked polypeptides, conjugates of proteins with

polyethylene glycols and other polymers), pseudopolyamino acids, starch or starch derivatives, chitin, chitosan, pectin, polylactic acid, polyglycolic acid, polyhydroxybutyric acid, polyester, polycarbonates, polyamides, polyphosphazenes, polyvinyl alcohol, polyamino acids, poly- ξ -caprolactone, polyorthoester, polyurethane, polyurea, polyethylene terephthalate, and polymethylene malonic acid ester.

9. Medical implants according to claim 5, wherein the polymer layer that is applied contains at least one softener.

10. Medical implants according to claim 9, wherein the softener is a nonionic surfactant, especially nonylphenoxy-polyethylene oxide (Synperonic NP20), octoxynol (Triton X-100) or poloxamers (Pluronic F127 or Pluronic F68).

11. Sterile solution of a polymer mixture in a special incubation vessel for the production of medical implants according to one of claims 1 to 10.

12. Use of polymers that consist of cyanoacrylates and/or methylene malonic acid esters for coating medical devices and implants, which are to prevent the proliferation of cells.

13. Process for the production of medical implants according to claim 1, wherein the vehicle or the medical implant that is to be coated or the part of the medical implant that is to be coated is immersed in a solution, which contains the polymers that consist of cyanoacrylate and/or methylene malonic acid ester, and then is drawn out from this solution.

14. Process according to claim 13, wherein in addition to the polymers that consist of cyanoacrylate and/or methylene malonic acid ester, the solution contains additional polymers.

15. Process for the production of a medical implant that is coated with polymer with use of a sterile solution according to claim 11.

Published:

With international search report.

(54) **Title:** COATED MEDICAL DEVICES AND IMPLANTS

(57) **Abstract**

The invention relates to medical implants that consist of a vehicle that is coated with a polymer or a polymer mixture and that are characterized in that the polymer mixture contains a polycyanoacrylic acid ester or a polymethylene malonic acid ester. The invention also relates to the use of polymers that consist of cyanoacrylates and/or methylene malonic acid esters for coating medical devices and implants, which prevent the proliferation of cells.

DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name,

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled.

COATED MEDICAL DEVICES AND IMPLANTS

the specification of which

☐ is attached hereto

☒ was filed on 18 AUGUST 1999 as United States Application Number or PCT International Application Number PCT/EP99/06015 and (if applicable) was amended on _____

I hereby authorize our attorneys to insert the serial number assigned to this application.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR §1.56

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. §119(a)-(d) or §365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or §365(a) of any PCT International application which designated at least one country other than the United States, listed below and have also identified below, by checking the box, any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate, or PCT International application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed.

PRIOR FOREIGN/PCT APPLICATION(S) AND ANY PATENT CLAIMS UNDER 35 USC §119

APPLICATION NO.	COUNTRY	DAY/MONTH/YEAR FILED	PRIORITY CLAIMED
198 43 254 2	GERMANY	10/09/1998	YES

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below.

PROVISIONAL APPLICATION(S) UNDER 35 U.S.C. §119(e)

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120 of any United States application, or §365(c) of any PCT International application designating the United States, listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT International application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. §112.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR §1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT International filing date of this application.

PRIOR U.S./PCT INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION(S) DESIGNATED FOR BENEFIT UNDER 37 U.S.C. §120

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	STATUS — PATENTED, PENDING, ABANDONED

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith: I. William Miller (19,544); John L. White (17,746); Anthony J. Zelano (27,969); Alan E.J. Branigan (20,565); John R. Moses (24,983); Harry B. Shubin (32,004); Brian P. Heaney (32,542); Richard J. Traverso (30,595); John A. Sopp (33,103); Richard M. Lebovitz (37,057); John H. Thomas (33,460); Catherine M. Joyce (40,668); Nancy J. Axelrod (44,014); James T. Moore (35,619); James E. Ruland (40,921) and Jennifer J. Branigan (37,432)

Correspondence Address:
MILLER WHITE ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.

Suite 1400
2200 Clarendon Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22201
TEL (703) 243-6333
FAX (703) 243-6410



23599

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name,

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled.

COATED MEDICAL DEVICES AND IMPLANTS

the specification of which

☐ is attached hereto

☒ was filed on 18 AUGUST 1999 as United States Application Number or PCT International Application Number PCT/EP99/06015 and (if applicable) was amended on _____

I hereby authorize our attorneys to insert the serial number assigned to this application.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR §1.56

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. §119(a)-(d) or §365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or §365(a) of any PCT International application which designated at least one country other than the United States, listed below and have also identified below, by checking the box, any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate, or PCT International application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed.

PRIOR FOREIGN/PCT APPLICATION(S) AND ANY PRIORITY CLAIMS UNDER 35 USC §119			
APPLICATION NO.	COUNTRY	DAY/MONTH/YEAR FILED	PRIORITY CLAIMED
198 43 254 2	GERMANY	10/09/1998	YES

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below.

PROVISIONAL APPLICATION(S) UNDER 35 U.S.C. §119(e)	
APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120 of any United States application, or §365(c) of any PCT International application designating the United States, listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT International application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. §112.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR §1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT International filing date of this application.

PRIOR U.S./PCT INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION(S) DESIGNATED FOR BENEFIT UNDER 37 U.S.C. §120		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	STATUS — PATENTED, PENDING, ABANDONED

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith: I. William Millen (19,544); John L. White (17,746); Anthony J. Zelano (27,969); Alan E.J. Branigan (20,565); John R. Moses (24,983); Harry B. Shubin (32,004); Brion P. Heaney (32,542); Richard J. Traverso (30,595); John A. Sopp (33,103); Richard M. Lebovitz (37,067); John H. Thomas (33,460); Catherine M. Joyce (40,668); Nancy J. Axelrod (44,014); James T. Moore (35,619); James E. Ruland (40,921) and Jennifer J. Branigan (37,432)

Correspondence Address:
MILLEN WHITE ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.
Suite 1400
2200 Clarendon Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22201
TEL (703) 243-6333
FAX (703) 243-6410



23599

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

I, hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of sole or first inventor (given name, family name) Jörg PRIEWE	
Signature	Date
Residence Berlin, Germany	Citizenship Germany
Post Office Address Sophienblatt 71a, D-24114 Kiel, Germany	
Full Name of additional joint inventor (given name, family name) Dieter HELDMANN	
Signature <i>Helmann</i>	Date <i>06.02.2001</i>
Residence Berlin, Germany	Citizenship Germany
Post Office Address Conradstraße 38, D-13509 Berlin, Germany	
Full Name of additional joint inventor (given name, family name) Fred WINDT-HANKE	
Signature	Date
Residence Berlin, Germany	Citizenship Germany
Post Office Address Menzelstraße 23-24, D-12157 Berlin, Germany	
Full Name of additional joint inventor (given name, family name) Dietmar BERNDORFF	
Signature <i>Berndt</i>	Date <i>06.02.2001</i>
Residence Hohen Neuendorf, Germany	Citizenship Germany
Post Office Address Eichenallee 42a, D-16540 Hohen Neuendorf, Germany	
Full Name of additional joint inventor (given name, family name)	
Signature	Date
Residence	Citizenship
Post Office Address	

☐ Additional joint inventors are named on separately numbered sheets attached hereto.

DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name,

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled.

COATED MEDICAL DEVICES AND IMPLANTS

the specification of which

☐ is attached hereto

☒ was filed on 18 AUGUST 1999 as United States Application Number or PCT International Application Number PCT/EP99/06015 and (if applicable) was amended on _____

I hereby authorize our attorneys to insert the serial number assigned to this application.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR §1.56

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. §119(a)-(d) or §365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or §365(a) of any PCT International application which designated at least one country other than the United States, listed below and have also identified below, by checking the box, any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate, or PCT International application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed.

PRIOR FOREIGN/PCT APPLICATION(S) AND ANY PRIORITY CLAIMS UNDER 35 USC §119

APPLICATION NO.	COUNTRY	DAY/MONTH/YEAR FILED	PRIORITY CLAIMED
198 43 254 2	GERMANY	10/09/1998	YES

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below.

PROVISIONAL APPLICATION(S) UNDER 35 U.S.C. §119(e)

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120 of any United States application, or §365(c) of any PCT International application designating the United States, listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT International application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. §112.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR §1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT International filing date of this application.

PRIOR U.S./PCT INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION(S) DESIGNATED FOR BENEFIT UNDER 37 U.S.C. §120

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	STATUS — PATENTED, PENDING, ABANDONED

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith: I. William Miller (19,544); John L. White (17,746); Anthony J. Zelano (27,969); Alan E.J. Branigan (20,565); John R. Moses (24,983); Harry B. Shubin (32,004); Brion P. Heaney (32,542); Richard J. Traverso (30,595); John A. Sopp (33,103); Richard M. Lebovitz (37,067); John H. Thomas (33,460); Catherine M. Joyce (40,668); Nancy J. Axelrod (44,014); James T. Moore (35,619); James E. Ruland (40,921) and Jennifer J. Branigan (37,432)

Correspondence Address:

MILLER WHITE ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.
Suite 1400
2200 Clarendon Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22201
TEL (703) 243-6333
FAX (703) 243-6410



23599

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of sole or first inventor (given name, family name)

Jörg PRIEWE

Signature

Date

Residence

Berlin, Germany

Citizenship

Germany

Post Office Address Sophienblatt 71a, D-24114 Kiel, Germany

Full Name of additional joint inventor (given name, family name)

Dieter HELDMANN

Signature

Date

Residence

Berlin, Germany

Citizenship

Germany

Post Office Address Conradstraße 38, D-13509 Berlin, Germany

Full Name of additional joint inventor (given name, family name)

Fred WINDT-HANKE

Signature

Date

07.02.01

Residence

Berlin, Germany

Citizenship

Germany

Post Office Address Menzelstraße 23-24, D-12157 Berlin, Germany

Full Name of additional joint inventor (given name, family name)

Dietmar BERNDORFF

Signature

Date

Residence

Hohen Neuendorf, Germany

Citizenship

Germany

Post Office Address Eichenallee 42a, D-16540 Hohen Neuendorf, Germany

Full Name of additional joint inventor (given name, family name)

Signature

Date

Residence

Citizenship

Post Office Address

☐ Additional joint inventors are named on separately numbered sheets attached hereto.

I, hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of sole or first inventor (given name, family name)

Jörg PRIEWE

Signature

Jörg Priewe

Date

2001-02-06

Residence

Berlin, Germany

DEX

Citizenship

Germany

Post Office Address

Sophienblatt 71a, D-24114 Kiel, Germany

Full Name of additional joint inventor (given name, family name)

Dieter HELDMANN

Signature

Date

Residence

Berlin, Germany

DEX

Citizenship

Germany

Post Office Address

Conradstraße 38, D-13509 Berlin, Germany

Full Name of additional joint inventor (given name, family name)

Fred WINDT-HANKE

Signature

Date

Residence

Berlin, Germany

DEX

Citizenship

Germany

Post Office Address

Menzelstraße 23-24, D-12157 Berlin, Germany

Full Name of additional joint inventor (given name, family name)

Dietmar BERNDORFF

Signature

Date

Residence

Hohen Neuendorf, Germany

DEX

Citizenship

Germany

Post Office Address

Eichenallee 42a, D-16540 Hohen Neuendorf, Germany

Full Name of additional joint inventor (given name, family name)

Signature

Date

Residence

Citizenship

Post Office Address

☐ Additional joint inventors are named on separately numbered sheets attached hereto.